A Playful Dive into the World of RL

Haozhe Tian, Research Postgraduate, Dyson School of Design Engineering, Imperial College London.



The Gloomy Comment







Follow ····

The impact of RL has been exactly as small as I had predicted. The impact of Self-Supervised Learning has been even bigger that I had predicted.

The RL Paradigm



Most likely the agent does not know the inner working of the environment, i.e. model-free RL

An Example: Pac-Man

a: { \uparrow , \downarrow , \leftarrow , \rightarrow } s₁: {I₀, I₋₁, I₋₂, I₋₃} s₂: { x_p , y_p , x_{g1} , y_{g2} ...} R₁: {+1, 0, -100} R₂: {0, -100}



The choice of state and reward are fexible



How RL Works

Reinforcement Learning

At state s_k , choose action a_k , that maximizes the **expected cumulative reward**. Formally: $a_k \leftarrow argmax_a \mathbf{Q}(s_k, a)$

RL Classification



Tabular Solution Method Example: Q-Learning

Q-Learning

Reinforcement Learning

Find a_k that maximizes the **expected cumulative reward**. $a_k \leftarrow argmax_a \mathbf{Q}(s_k, a)$

$$Q(s_k, a_k) = \mathbb{E}[R_k + \gamma R_{k+1} + \gamma^2 R_{k+2} + \cdots]$$

= $R_k + \gamma \mathbb{E}[R_k + \gamma R_{k+2} + \cdots]$
Assume a_k at s_k leads
to determined s_{k+1}
= $R_k + \sum_a P(a \mid s_{k+1})Q(s_{k+1}, a)$

10 2. Methodology

Q-Learning

1. Act=>ε-greedy:

 $\int_{a_i = -1/+1}^{a = argmax} Q(s, a_i) => Exploitation with 1 - \varepsilon \text{ possibility}$ act randomly => Exploration with ε possibility

2. Update:

At state s, take a, update Q(s, a):

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + lr[R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a') - Q(s,a)]$$

Bootstrapped estimation of Q(s, a)Based on greedy assumption for future states

u



1. Act:



 $a = \underset{a_i=-1/+1}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(s, a_i),$ If Q(s, -1) = Q(s, +1), act randomly

2. Update:

At state *s*, take *a*, update Q(s, a): $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + lr[R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') - Q(s, a)]$ **2. Update:**

1. Act:





Update: $Q(0,+1) \leftarrow 0$



1. Act:

$$a = \underset{a_i = -1/+1}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(s, a_i),$$

If Q(s, -1) = Q(s, +1), act randomly

2. Update:

At state s, take a, update Q(s, a): $Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha [R + \max_{a'} Q(s',a') - Q(s,a)]$ 2. Update:

Actions

+1

0

+10

+10

-1

-10

0

0

1. Act:



	Q(s, a)	
Policy: S = 1, a = -1 S' = 0, R = 0		0
	States	1
		2

Update: $Q(1,-1) \leftarrow 0$



1. Act:

$$a = \underset{a_i = -1/+1}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(s, a_i),$$

If Q(s, -1) = Q(s, +1), act randomly

2. Update:

Policy:

At state s, take a, update Q(s, a): $Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha [R + \max_{a'} Q(s',a') - Q(s,a)]$ 2. Update:

1. Act:





Update: $Q(1,+1) \leftarrow +10$

Q-Learning

Q-learning: An off-policy TD control algorithm

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Initialize } Q(s,a), \forall s \in \mathbb{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}(s), \mbox{ arbitrarily, and } Q(terminal-state, \cdot) = 0 \\ \mbox{Repeat (for each episode):} \\ \mbox{Initialize } S \\ \mbox{Repeat (for each step of episode):} \\ \mbox{Choose } A \mbox{ from } S \mbox{ using policy derived from } Q \mbox{ (e.g., $\epsilon$-greedy)} \\ \mbox{Take action } A, \mbox{ observe } R, S' \\ \mbox{Initial } Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha [R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)] \\ \mbox{ S } \leftarrow S' \\ \mbox{ until } S \mbox{ is terminal} \end{array} \right) \label{eq:generalized}
```

Approximated Solution Method Example: DQN

DQN

- Short for Deep Q-Network
- Proposed by Minh et al. in "Playing Atari with Deep

Reinforcement Learning"



17 2. Methodology

Figures from https://gymnasium.farama.org/environments/atari/complete_list/



- Use NN to approximate $Q_{\theta}(s, a)$.
- Suitable for large state and action space.
- Ability to generalize.
- 18 **2. Methodology**

DQN

- To update θ in the NN
- Use NN to approximate $Q_{\theta}(s, a)$:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(R + \max_{a} Q_{\theta}(s', a) - Q_{\theta}(s, a) \right)$$

Predicted Q value
.detach()

DQN

• Seems "straight-forward":

Deeper -> More Powerful?

- In fact, the paper was not the first to propose deep networks for approximating *Q*(*s*, *a*).
- The main contribution is the **<u>Replay Memory</u>**.

DQN: Replay Memory

- Save experience (s, a, R, s') in the Replay Buffer.
- In each iteration, sample a batch from the Replay Buffer.
- Benefits for doing this:
 - Breaks Correlation in Successive Samples
 - Promotes Sample Efficiency
 - Facilitates Learning from Rare Events
 - Improves Gradient Descent Stability (by having a batch).
- 21 **2. Methodology**



Summary

Reinforcement Learning

RL learns from **trial and error** through interaction with an environment

Compared with Other ML Paradigm

RL generates a sequence of decision each depending on previous actions; Data distribution changes according to the agent's action.

Compared with Planning (DP, MPC)

No system model!!

Back to the Gloomy Comment





A minimal dose of RL is inevitable. But the purpose of RL research should be to find ways to minimize its use because it's so sample inefficient. My vision is to use SSL-trained world models & intrinsic objectives (hopefully differentiable), and planning.



If you are still interested

Sutton&Barto Book

Available free online: <u>https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/course/10-</u> <u>703/textbook/BartoSutton.pdf</u>

David Silver UCL Lectures

Recording free on YouTube: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2pWv7GOvuf0</u>

Thank you

Haozhe Tian,

Research Postgraduate,

Dyson School of Design Engineering,

Imperial College London.